

# Minutes of the June 20, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura

IBM: Neil Patterson, Alasdair Nottingham

Oracle: Will Lyons

Payara: Hadar Vorenshtein

Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez

Enterprise Member representative (Primeton): not present

Enterprise Member Representative (Microsoft): not present

Participant member representative (LJC): Abraham Marin

We have quorum.

Eclipse: Tanja Obradovic

## Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

The [Draft Minutes of the May 23, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting](#) were approved.

The [Draft Minutes of the June 6, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting](#) will be reviewed next time.

Note: The next Steering Committee meeting will be on July 11 (rescheduled from July 4). We will resume meetings every other week on July 18, per the existing schedule.

## Jakarta EE 11

- The following reflects the draft release plan of record
  - [Jakarta EE 11 Draft Release Plan](#)
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) version [lifecycle](#)
  - 3 spec ballots are in progress (ballots were scheduled to close June 19 - awaiting additional votes on June 20)
- Plan Review PRs are [here](#)
  - Appears that all but Interceptors PRs are available as of June 19
- Q3 objectives updated during last meeting (Slide 6)

- [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1QQL-mlohA-I5-3z2vcVL8tkiBEYX\\_LPSBmuwy77ALco/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74\\_0\\_93](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1QQL-mlohA-I5-3z2vcVL8tkiBEYX_LPSBmuwy77ALco/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74_0_93)
- Will monitor for next event to be promoted (e.g. “Specs have completed plan review”)
- Note marketing update below

## Jakarta EE Developer Survey

- Per last meeting Shabnam sent mail indicating the survey had closed with over 2,200 responses, an increase of over 50% from last year.
- Tracking results and survey schedule published here:
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18sRRewEVmcczu2h\\_OByGxqD2H9Q18I0E0UJbLFZXHlw/edit#gid=213240262](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18sRRewEVmcczu2h_OByGxqD2H9Q18I0E0UJbLFZXHlw/edit#gid=213240262)
- The following summary has been prepared to identify sources of growth in survey responses:
  - [Survey participation report](#) - Translating the survey in other languages worked really well! 27% of the responses are from the Chinese collector links. Thank you Eric and Emily for helping drive this.
  - More focus on Email campaigns and leveraging on our contacts worked really well as well (30%)

## Getting involved with Jakarta EE (Jakarta EE Committer Survey)

- Mailing sent out last week

Are you an existing Jakarta EE contributor or interested in getting involved?  
Please take this short [survey](#) to let us know your goals and interest in contributing to Jakarta EE.

The survey takes less than two minutes to complete

- Initiated based on prior feedback to “make it easier to contribute” - 90 responses so far.

## EclipseCon 2023 - Ludwigsburg, Germany | October 16 - 19, 2023

- [EclipseCon Cfp](#) closed on June 16
- EclipseCon: Community Day for Java Developers - October 16
  - Collaborating with iJUG to expand the reach to local java developer community
  - They will be heavily involved in the organization of the event, and the program will be created by inviting speakers and also have CfP
  - Including other Java related projects, like Dev Tools, Adoptium

- Agenda item brought forward from last meeting, beginning with email from Neil week of June 6:
  - Initial email from Neil week of June 6, discussed last meeting

Hi Will,

We are planning a more engaging and extensive Community Day covering full-stack Java at EclipseCon. To this end, we need \$10K and are looking to fund this mostly from our event budget but would like to have access to the Jakarta EE contingency fund if needed. We would like this to be raised and discussed on the Steering Committee call.

- Per last meeting, the Marketing Committee agreed to come back with a summary of spending to date, funding for EclipseCon Community day, and how the “ask” will enable this event and subsequent events later in the year.
- Regarding Steering Committee contingency, see slide 25 at the following link:
  - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kbs2Th0si6ZTUhTPbeDSmv8qFNzfb1JDYqPHp-l\\_RqE/edit#slide=id.g21d49052223\\_0\\_148](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kbs2Th0si6ZTUhTPbeDSmv8qFNzfb1JDYqPHp-l_RqE/edit#slide=id.g21d49052223_0_148)
- Marketing Committee Recommendation for Contingency Fund use:

#### **Contingency Fund - recommendation**

- Given we are half-way through 2023, we are looking to be proactive in leveraging the contingency fund for key content that will support our activities
- Priorities: Jakarta EE 11 content
  - Professional video booth set up at EclipseCon to create content that will support the Jakarta EE 11 launch (create before we need it)
    - Customers, committers, working group members
    - Testimonials
    - Record other video styles
    - Potentially record sessions at Java community day
  - We are likely to need more SWAG.
- The following resolution was voted on and approved:

*Resolved, that the Jakarta EE Working Group contingency fund of \$9,500 be released to the Marketing Committee for the following purposes:*

- *Jakarta EE 11 content*
  - *Professional video booth set up at EclipseCon to create content that will support the Jakarta EE 11 launch (create before we need it)*

- *Customers, committers, working group members*
- *Testimonials*
- *Record other video styles*
- *Potentially record sessions at Java community day*
- *More SWAG.*

Vote on resolution:

Fujitsu: +1

IBM: +1

Oracle: +1

Payara: +1

Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez +1

Enterprise Member representative (Primeton): not present

Enterprise Member Representative (Microsoft): not present

Participant member representative (LJC): +1

The resolution is approved.

## **JakartaOne Livestream**

- Events
  - August - Portuguese
  - September - Mandarin
  - November - Spanish
  - December - English
- Tanja has sent out a note with link for signup sheet for vendor presentations
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jknN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj\\_zmCa0xMIRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=30278074](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jknN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj_zmCa0xMIRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=30278074)

## **Objectives**

- Q1 report discussed last time (link provided for reference)
  - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kbs2Th0si6ZTUhTPbeDSmv8qFNzfb1JDYqPHp-l\\_RqE/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74\\_0\\_757](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kbs2Th0si6ZTUhTPbeDSmv8qFNzfb1JDYqPHp-l_RqE/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74_0_757)
  - Thank you Tanja for your effort on this report
- Q2-Q3 objectives
  - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1QQL-mlohA-l5-3z2vcVL8tkiBEYX\\_LPSBmuwy77ALco/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74\\_0\\_936](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1QQL-mlohA-l5-3z2vcVL8tkiBEYX_LPSBmuwy77ALco/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74_0_936)
  - Committee members in general are encouraged to identify meaningful objectives

## **Deprecation and backwards compatibility policy (Abraham)**

- Related to Agenda item above

- The following topic was added to the Steering Committee meeting agenda on March 28
  - In the last Spec Committee meeting there was a conversation about backwards-compatibility and deprecation. The conclusion was that this was probably a steering conversation. Essentially, the crux of the matter is that the [Compatibility Requirements](#) page talks about deprecating methods, but it doesn't seem to allow making backwards-incompatible changes; this is a bit odd because that would imply that one can deprecate a method but never remove it! Also, there are specs (like CDI) which have made backwards-incompatible changes, so in theory we've got specs that have violated these requirements.
  - Paul summarized the Spec Committee discussion
    - The Spec Committee has recognized that the actual level of compatibility being provided is not completely consistent with our published [Compatibility Requirements](#).
    - The Spec Committee is in the process of refining these requirements at a detailed level.
    - When this work results in a detailed proposal it will be brought to the Steering Committee for review.
    - There is no specific target or deadline at this time.
    - We will keep this on the Steering Committee agenda for tracking purposes and awareness.
- This topic is still a work in progress
  - Steering Committee review is not required at this time