Jakarta EE Spec Committee - May 14th, 2025

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Emily Jiang - IBM - **Tom Watson**

Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, **Cesar Hernandez**

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - **Abraham Marin-Perez**

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 11 co-release coordinators: **Ed Burns**, **Jared Anderson**

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the April 30th, 2025 meeting as drafted - **approved**

Agenda:

- Catch up on the Jakarta EE 11 Release Plan [Ed Burns]
  - EE 11 - Prioritized backlog · Jakarta EE11 TCK Release (github.com) and Jakarta EE11 TCK Release board
  - **Tentative** schedule thoughts
    - May 21 TCK complete
    - June 5 CCR created and mentor review started
    - June 9 start ballot (complete 7 to 14 days)
  - Three issues blocking GlassFish being used for CCR
    - Should be easy to fix
- Catch up on the Jakarta EE 12 Release Plan [Jared Anderson]

- o Project board: https://github.com/orgs/jakartaee/projects/17/views/1
  - ▪ Only waiting on Activation now - all other ballots complete or in progress
- Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of specifications progressing through the JESP specification version lifecycle
- Issue #55 - TCK Archive Format [Ed Bratt]
  - o Check in on Ed Bratt's PR: *pending*
- Issue #83 - Clean up and clarify how to list TCK service releases on spec pages
  - o Check on progress of pull requests
- Issue #74 - TCK challenge automatic acceptance
  - o Check on progress of specifications
  - o Spec Committee still required to send emails out
  - o Platform project also encouraging responses
  - o What timeframe should we give for them to choose? May 16th is now very close and most specifications have been emailed.
  - o In the case of lazy acceptance, how do we add the necessary labels?
    - ▪ Committer access required
  - o How do we prevent bad actors?
- Issue #58 - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
  - o Check on progress of pull requests
- Issue #82 - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Ed Bratt]
  - o *Carry over from February 19th: TCK Process should be updated with something akin to Scott Starks suggestion.*
- Review other open issues:
  - o Determine which issues to label as "enhancement" and add to our board
  - o Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with